

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT CHATTANOOGA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	Case No. 1:15-cr-123-TRM-SKL
v.)	
)	
CHRISTY VINCENT)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), I conducted a plea hearing in this case on June 9, 2016. At the hearing, defendant moved to withdraw her not guilty plea to Count One of the eight-count Indictment and entered a plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment, in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find the defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; the plea is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Therefore, I **RECOMMEND** defendant's motion to withdraw her not guilty plea to Count One of the Indictment be granted, her plea of guilty to Count One of the Indictment be accepted, the Court adjudicate defendant guilty of the charges set forth in Count One of the Indictment, and a decision on whether to accept the plea agreement be deferred until sentencing. Defendant filed a motion and memorandum in support to remain on bond after entry of her plea pending sentencing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3143(a) & 3145(c) [Docs. 22 & 23]. The government filed a response [Doc. 26] to the motion objecting to release pending sentencing. A hearing was held on the motion. I find exceptional circumstances are present in this matter. Therefore Defendant's motion to remain on bond pending sentencing is **GRANTED** [Doc. 22] and a separate Order has been entered on the

record. Therefore, I further **RECOMMEND** Defendant remain on bond until sentencing in this matter. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

s/ Susan K. Lee

SUSAN K. LEE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to *de novo* review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than fourteen days after the plea hearing. Failure to file objections within fourteen days constitutes a waiver of any further right to challenge the plea of guilty in this matter. See 28 U.S.C. §636(b).